

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/779,558 | 02/09/2001 | Yuichi ltoh | 1254-0170P | 6155 |
| 2292 | 7590 01/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| 2 111011011 | WART KOLASCH & | EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1713 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| 09/779,558 | ITOH ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim | 1713 |

| | Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim | 1713 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) Eugene Perez. P | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 January 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: All. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented arguments that the prior art references were not consistent with the present claims, particularly with regarding to the softening agents and their evaporation loss. The examiner indicated that in order for the applicant's to overcome all the 102/103 rejections, each reference, and all that it teaches, must be eliminated as prior art by demonstrating that the softenen agents each teaches, individually, is not consistent with applicant's softening agents.

102/103 rejections are appropriate when the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in In re Fitzgerald et al , 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 - § 2112.02.